Form: TH-04



townhall.virginia.gov

Fast-Track Regulation Agency Background Document

| Agency name | Department of Medical Assistance Services | |
|------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Virginia Administrative Code (VAC) citation(s) | 12 VAC 30-70-301 | |
| Regulation title(s) | Methods and Standards for Establishing Payment Rates - Inpatient Hospital Services | |
| Action title | DSH Payments to Inpatient Psychiatric Hospitals | |
| Date this document prepared | February 12, 2018 | |

This information is required for executive branch review and the Virginia Registrar of Regulations, pursuant to the Virginia Administrative Process Act (APA), Executive Orders 17 (2014) and 58 (1999), and the *Virginia Register Form, Style, and Procedure Manual.*

Brief summary

Please provide a brief summary (preferably no more than 2 or 3 paragraphs) of the proposed new regulation, proposed amendments to the existing regulation, or the regulation proposed to be repealed. Alert the reader to all substantive matters or changes. If applicable, generally describe the existing regulation.

The purpose of this amendment to existing regulation is to update the procedure for the Disproportionate Share Hospital (DSH) payment calculations for inpatient psychiatric hospitals. Beginning July 1, 2017, the annual DSH payment will be calculated for each eligible hospital by dividing the total inpatient psychiatric hospital allocation by each hospital's percentage of the total uncompensated care costs for the most recent DSH audit year. Prior to July 1, 2017, the DSH per diem for state inpatient psychiatric hospitals was calculated by dividing the total state inpatient psychiatric hospital allocation by the number of DSH days and multiplying each hospital's DSH days by the DSH per diem.

Statement of final agency action

Form: TH-04

Please provide a statement of the final action taken by the agency including:1) the date the action was taken; 2) the name of the agency taking the action; and 3) the title of the regulation.

I hereby approve the foregoing Regulatory Review Summary entitled "DSH Payments for Inpatient Psychiatric Hospitals" with the attached amended regulations (12 VAC 30-70-301) and adopt the action stated therein. I certify that this fast track regulatory action has completed all the requirements of the Code of Virginia § 2.2-4012.1, of the Administrative Process Act.

February 12, 2018

/Jennifer S. Lee, M.D./

Date

Jennifer S. Lee, M.D., Director

Dept. of Medical Assistance Services

Legal basis

Please identify the state and/or federal legal authority to promulgate this proposed regulation, including: 1) the most relevant citations to the Code of Virginia or General Assembly chapter number(s), if applicable; and 2) promulgating entity, i.e., agency, board, or person. Your citation should include a specific provision authorizing the promulgating entity to regulate this specific subject or program, as well as a reference to the agency/board/person's overall regulatory authority.

The *Code of Virginia* (1950) as amended, § 32.1-325, grants to the Board of Medical Assistance Services the authority to administer and amend the Plan for Medical Assistance. The *Code of Virginia* (1950) as amended, § 32.1-324, authorizes the Director of DMAS to administer and amend the Plan for Medical Assistance according to the Board's requirements. The Medicaid authority as established by § 1902 (a) of the *Social Security Act* [42 U.S.C. 1396a] provides governing authority for payments for services.

CMS approved the changes contained in this regulatory package on December 13, 2017 with an effective date of July 1, 2017.

Purpose

Please explain the need for the new or amended regulation. Describe the rationale or justification of the proposed regulatory action. **Describe the specific reasons the regulation is essential to protect the**

health, safety or welfare of citizens. Discuss the goals of the proposal and the problems the proposal is intended to solve.

Form: TH-04

The purpose of this action is to update the DSH payment methodology to inpatient psychiatric hospitals. The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) has requested this change in order to avoid paying a hospital more than its uncompensated costs.

Prior to July 1, 2017, the DSH per diem for state inpatient psychiatric hospitals was calculated by dividing the total state inpatient psychiatric hospital allocation by the number of DSH days and multiplying each hospital's DSH days by the DSH per diem.

Updating the reimbursement methodology effective July 1, 2017 will increase payments to Catawba Hospital and reduce payments to Piedmont Geriatric Hospital but leave unchanged total payments to Department of Behavioral Health and Developmental Services (DBHDS) facilities.

This regulation is essential to protect the health safety and welfare of Medicaid members in that it allocates limited Medicaid funds in a way to help ensure continued access to care across the Commonwealth.

Rationale for using fast-track process

Please **explain the rationale for using the fast-track process** in promulgating this regulation. Why do you expect this rulemaking to be noncontroversial?

This regulatory action is being promulgated as a fast track action because it is not expected to be controversial. CMS approved the change and the updated DSH payment methodology was used for payments made after July 1, 2017.

Substance

Please briefly identify and explain the new substantive provisions, the substantive changes to existing sections, or both. A more detailed discussion is provided in the "Detail of changes" section below.

Effective July 1, 2017, DSH payments will be calculated by multiplying the annual state inpatient psychiatric DSH allocation by the ratio of each hospital's uncompensated cost for the most recent DSH audited year completed prior to the DSH payment year to the uncompensated care cost of all state inpatient psychiatric hospitals for the same audited year.

Issues

Please identify the issues associated with the proposed regulatory action, including: 1) the primary advantages and disadvantages to the public, such as individual private citizens or businesses, of implementing the new or amended provisions; 2) the primary advantages and disadvantages to the

agency or the Commonwealth; and 3) other pertinent matters of interest to the regulated community, government officials, and the public. If there are no disadvantages to the public or the Commonwealth, please indicate.

Form: TH-04

The primary advantage of this regulation is it helps ensure that DMAS does not pay a hospital more than its uncompensated costs.

These changes create no disadvantages to the public, the Agency, the Commonwealth, or the regulated community.

Requirements more restrictive than federal

Please identify and describe any requirement of the proposal which is more restrictive than applicable federal requirements. Include a rationale for the need for the more restrictive requirements. If there are no applicable federal requirements or no requirements that exceed applicable federal requirements, include a statement to that effect.

There are no requirements more restrictive than federal contained in these recommendations.

Localities particularly affected

Please identify any locality particularly affected by the proposed regulation. Locality particularly affected means any locality which bears any identified disproportionate material impact which would not be experienced by other localities.

There will be no localities that are more affected than others as these requirements will apply statewide.

Regulatory flexibility analysis

Pursuant to § 2.2-4007.1B of the Code of Virginia, please describe the agency's analysis of alternative regulatory methods, consistent with health, safety, environmental, and economic welfare, that will accomplish the objectives of applicable law while minimizing the adverse impact on small business. Alternative regulatory methods include, at a minimum: 1) the establishment of less stringent compliance or reporting requirements; 2) the establishment of less stringent schedules or deadlines for compliance or reporting requirements; 3) the consolidation or simplification of compliance or reporting requirements; 4) the establishment of performance standards for small businesses to replace design or operational standards required in the proposed regulation; and 5) the exemption of small businesses from all or any part of the requirements contained in the proposed regulation.

This regulatory action is not expected to affect small businesses as it does not impose compliance or reporting requirements, nor deadlines for reporting, nor does it establish performance standards to replace design or operational standards.

Economic impact

Form: TH-04

Please identify the anticipated economic impact of the proposed new regulations or amendments to the existing regulation. When describing a particular economic impact, please specify which new requirement or change in requirement creates the anticipated economic impact.

| Projected cost to the state to implement and | There will no additional cost to the state. |
|----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| enforce the proposed regulation, including: | |
| a) fund source / fund detail; and | |
| b) a delineation of one-time versus on-going | |
| expenditures | |
| Projected cost of the new regulations or | N/A |
| changes to existing regulations on localities. | |
| Description of the individuals, businesses, or | 2 hospitals; Catawba Hospital and Piedmont |
| other entities likely to be affected by the new | Geriatric Hospital. |
| regulations or changes to existing regulations. | |
| Agency's best estimate of the number of such | 2 hospitals |
| entities that will be affected. Please include an | |
| estimate of the number of small businesses | |
| affected. Small business means a business entity, | |
| including its affiliates, that: | |
| a) is independently owned and operated and; | |
| b) employs fewer than 500 full-time employees or | |
| has gross annual sales of less than \$6 million. | |
| All projected costs of the new regulations or | N/A |
| changes to existing regulations for affected | |
| individuals, businesses, or other | |
| entities. Please be specific and include all | |
| costs including: | |
| a) the projected reporting, recordkeeping, and | |
| other administrative costs required for | |
| compliance by small businesses; and | |
| b) specify any costs related to the development | |
| of real estate for commercial or residential | |
| purposes that are a consequence of the | |
| proposed regulatory changes or new | |
| regulations. | |
| Beneficial impact the regulation is designed | To meet CMS requirements. |
| to produce. | · |
| - | |

Alternatives

Please describe any viable alternatives to the proposal considered and the rationale used by the agency to select the least burdensome or intrusive alternative that meets the essential purpose of the action. Also, include discussion of less intrusive or less costly alternatives for small businesses, as defined in § 2.2-4007.1 of the Code of Virginia, of achieving the purpose of the regulation.

No other alternative would appropriately update the DSH payment methodology.

Public participation notice

Form: TH-04

If an objection to the use of the fast-track process is received within the 30-day public comment period from 10 or more persons, any member of the applicable standing committee of either house of the General Assembly or of the Joint Commission on Administrative Rules, the agency shall: 1) file notice of the objections with the Registrar of Regulations for publication in the Virginia Register; and 2) proceed with the normal promulgation process with the initial publication of the fast-track regulation serving as the Notice of Intended Regulatory Action.

No comments were received in the public comment period pertaining to this action.

Family Impact

Please assess the impact of the proposed regulatory action on the institution of the family and family stability including to what extent the regulatory action will: 1) strengthen or erode the authority and rights of parents in the education, nurturing, and supervision of their children; 2) encourage or discourage economic self-sufficiency, self-pride, and the assumption of responsibility for oneself, one's spouse, and one's children and/or elderly parents; 3) strengthen or erode the marital commitment; and 4) increase or decrease disposable family income.

These changes do not strengthen or erode the authority or rights of parents in the education, nurturing, and supervision of their children; nor encourage or discourage economic self-sufficiency, self-pride, and the assumption of responsibility for oneself, one's spouse, and one's children and/or elderly parents. It does not strengthen or erode the marital commitment, and does not increase or decrease disposable family income.

Detail of changes

Please list all changes that are being proposed and the consequences of the proposed changes; explain the new requirements and what they mean rather than merely quoting the proposed text of the regulation. If the proposed regulation is a new chapter, describe the intent of the language and the expected impact. Please describe the difference between existing regulation(s) and/or agency practice(s) and what is being proposed in this regulatory action. If the proposed regulation is intended to replace an emergency regulation, please list separately: (1) all differences between the **pre**-emergency regulation and this proposed regulation; and 2) only changes made since the publication of the emergency regulation.

| Current section number | Proposed new section number, if applicable | Current requirement | Proposed change, intent, rationale, and likely impact of proposed requirements |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 12 VAC 30- 70-301 | N/A | None | Language added at 12 VAC 30-70-301.C.4.b for new DSH payment calculation procedure effective 7/1/2017. |